TRANSLATION PATENT COOPERATION TREATY PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

| Applicant's or agent's file reference D-03008 PCT | FOR FURTHER ACTION | See Form PC | Г/ІРЕА/416 | | |
|---|---|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|
| International application No. PCT/DE2004/002716 | International filing date (day/m | onth/year) Priority date (10.12. | day/month/year) . 2003 | | |
| International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC C11D3/37, D06P5/08 | | | | | |
| Applicant SASOL GERMANY GMBH | | | | | |
| 1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. | | | | | |
| 2. This REPORT consists of a total o | 6 | sheets, including this cover shee | et. | | |
| 3. This report is also accompanied by | ANNEXES, comprising: | | | | |
| a. (sent to the applicant a | nd to the International Bureau) a to | otal of 9 | sheets, as follows: | | |
| | ription, claims and/or drawings where rectifications authorized by this A | | _ | | |
| sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box. | | | | | |
| | al Bureau only) a total of (indicate | type and number of electronic c | carrier(s)) | | |
| | | | a sequence listing and/or tables | | |
| related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions). | | | | | |
| 4. This report contains indications rel | ating to the following items: | | | | |
| Box No. I Basis of | the report | | | | |
| Box No. II Priority | | | | | |
| Box No. III Non-esta | blishment of opinion with regard to | novelty, inventive step and ind | lustrial applicability | | |
| Box No. IV Lack of t | | | | | |
| DON 110. 1 | | | | | |
| Box No. VI Certain d | | | | | |
| Box No. VII Certain d | lefects in the international application | on | | | |
| Box No. VIII Certain o | bservations on the international app | plication | | | |
| Date of submission of the demand Date of completion of this report | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP | Authoriz | ed officer | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Facsimile No. | Telephor | ne No. | | | |

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/DE2004/002716

| Box No. | I | Basis of the report | | | |
|-------------|-----------|---|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|
| | _ | o the language, this report is based on the internation | onal application in the language in | which it v | was filed, unless otherwise |
| | _ | port is based on translations from the original langus the language of a translation furnished for the pur | | | · · |
| | in | nternational search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)) | | | |
| | р | ublication of the international application (Rule 12. | 4) | | |
| | in | nternational preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and | H/or 55.3) | | |
| rec | _ | to the elements of the international application, this ice in response to an invitation under Article 14 a | _ | | · · |
| | the inte | rnational application as originally filed/furnished | | | |
| | the desc | cription: | | | |
| | pages | 1-4,7,8,11-16 | | 24.12 | as originally filed/furnished .2005 with letter |
| | pages* | 5,6,9,10 | received by this Authority on | | .12.2005 |
| | pages* | | received by this Authority on | | |
| \boxtimes | the clair | ms: | | | |
| | nos. | | | | as originally filed/furnished |
| | nos.* | | as amended (togethe | er with any | y statement) under Article 19 |
| | nos.* | 1-20 | received by this Authority on | | .2005 with letter .12.2005 |
| | nos.* | | received by this Authority on | | |
| | the dray | | _ | | |
| | sheets | | | | as originally filed/furnished |
| | | | | | - |
| | sheets* | | | | |
| | sheets* | | _ received by this Authority on | | |
| | a seque | nce listing and/or any related table(s) – see Suppler | nental Box Relating to Sequence L | isting. | |
| 3. | The am | endments have resulted in the cancellation of: | | | |
| | L th | ne description, pages | | | |
| | th | ne claims, nos. | | | |
| | L th | ne drawings, sheets/figs | | | |
| | th | ne sequence listing (specify): | | | |
| | aı | ny table(s) related to sequence listing (specify): | | | |
| 4. | - | port has been established as if (some of) the amen ve been considered to go beyond the disclosure as f | | | |
| | th | ne description, pages | | | |
| | | ne claims, nos. | | | |
| | | ne drawings, sheets/figs | | | |
| | | ne sequence listing (specify): | | | |
| | | ny table(s) related to sequence listing (specify): | | | |
| * If it | | lies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "sup | | | |

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/DE2004/002716

| Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|------------|------|-----|
| 1. | Statement | | | |
| | Novelty (N) | Claims | 1-19 | YES |
| | | Claims | 20 | NO |
| | Inventive step (IS) | Claims | 1-19 | YES |
| | | Claims | 20 | NO |
| | Industrial applicability (| IA) Claims | 1-20 | YES |
| | | Claims | | NO |
| | | | | |

- 2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)
 - 1. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: WO-A-99/67350

D2: JP-A-2002/142760 (WPI)

D3: US-A-5 486 207

2. Document D1 (see the claims and examples, especially Examples 8 and 9, pages 19 and 22) describes watersoluble polyesters containing glycols, terephthalic acid derivative, more than 20% isophthalic acid and oxyalkylated polyol such as polyethylene glycol (PEG), ethoxylated glycerol or trimethylol propane or pentaerythritol, for reducing dye redeposition in a dye removal process for dyed denim. The molecular weight is not specified. D1 does not disclose terephthalic acid in a proportion of more than 90% or PEG with a molecular weight between 2000 and 8000 as in claim 1 of the present application. D1 also does not describe alkoxylated C₁₋₁₈ alcohols as in claim 4 or the formula claimed in claim 12.

Document D2 describes water-soluble polyesters with a molecular weight between 500 and 100000 containing

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

between 0 and 10 C_{2-10} alkylene glycol units and between 1 and 10 terephthalic acid C_{2-10} alkylene glycol units in a dye removal process for dyed denim. D2 does not disclose PEG with a molecular weight between 2000 and 8000 as in claim 1 of the present application. D2 also does not describe alkoxylated C_{1-18} alcohols as in claim 4 or the formula claimed in claim 12 where n is between 7 and 115.

Document D3 (see the examples and claims) describes water-soluble polyesters containing 2-hydroxyethane sulphonate, terephthalic acid methyl ester, isophthalate, ethylene glycol and propylene glycol. The reaction produces polyetherols with at least 6 oxygen atoms, and the polyester has a molecular weight between 500 and 8000. The product is used to remove dye from dyed cotton fabrics. D3 does not disclose PEG with a molecular weight between 2000 and 8000 as in claim 1 of the present application. D3 also does not describe alkoxylated C_{1-18} alcohols as in claim 4 or the formula claimed in claim 12 where n is between 7 and 115. There is also no mention in D3 of indigo-dyed cotton as in claims 1 and 12.

The subject matter of claims 1, 4, 12 and 19 is therefore novel over documents D1, D2 and D3.

3. The fact that a product is produced by a novel process does not make the product itself novel. The subject matter of claim 20 (an indigo-dyed cotton treated as per claims 1 to 13 and/or claims 16 to 18) therefore lacks novelty, at least in relation to D1

PCT/DE2004/002716

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

> and D2. Hence the requirement of PCT Article 33(2) is not met.

The problem addressed by the present invention is that 4. of how to reduce dye redeposition in a dye removal process for dyed fabrics, namely stonewashing. No technical effect is demonstrated. The examples describe polyester synthesis processes.

The same problem is described in D1. D2 also relates to stonewashing, whereas D3 relates to the prevention of fading. The subject matter of claims 1, 4 and 12 is thus an alternative to the polyesters used for the same purpose in D1 and D2. The distinguishing features referred to in point 2 above are neither known from nor suggested by D1 or D2. The subject matter of claims 1, 4, 12 and 19 is therefore inventive (PCT Article 33(3)).

- Independent claims 1, 4 and 12 fail to meet the 5. requirement of unity of invention.
 - Claims 1, 4 and 12 specify various types of (a) polyester.
 - Claims 1 and 12 specify indigo-dyed cotton, which (b) is not necessary in claim 4. Claim 4 relates to dyed fabric containing cotton fibres.
 - The same problem is described in D1 and D2. (C)

The requirements of PCT Rule 13.1 and 13.2 are therefore not met.

6. Claim 12 appears to include all the features of claim 1

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/DE2004/002716

| Box No. V | Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement |
|-----------|---|
| | and should therefore not be formulated as if it were |
| | dependent on claim 1 (PCT Rule 6.4). |
| | |
| 7. | Claim 7 can only be dependent on claims 4 to 6; claim 9 |
| | can only be dependent on claims 4 to 8; and claim 17 can |
| | only be dependent on claims 8, 10 and 11. The |
| | requirements of PCT Article 6 are therefore not met. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |